

(9)

SINGLE BENCH

CF1002

(2)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT
BILASPUR**

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 358 /2007

PETITIONER

F.A. No. 326/07
Presented by Shri. S.K. Verma.
dated 10/11/07

Rajendra Kumar Nag

Age about 44 years, Assistant Teacher,
S/o Late Shri Sukhrdu Ram Nag
Govt. Primary School, Eradha
Block - Kanker, Distt. - Kanker (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

- : 1. **State Government of Chhattisgarh**
Through :- **Secretary,**
Education Department,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. **District Education Officer**
Kanker (C.G.)
3. **Block Education Officer**
Kanker (C.G.)
4. **Joint Director (Treasury/Accounts)**
Jagdalpur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
10/11/07
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA**

XI-HC-78

(19)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>23.01.2007</u> Mr. Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate for the petitioner(s). Mrs. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Government Advocate for the respondents/State. With the consent of learned counsel appearing for the parties, the writ petition is heard finally and disposed of. Common order dictated in Writ Petition (S) No. 355/2007 in open Court, passed separately. A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petitions.	Sd/- Satish K. Agnihotri Judge

Subhash

P.T.O.

25/1/07

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P.(S) No. 355 of 2007

Petitioners : Smt. Kalpana Sharma and others
Versus
Respondents : State Government of Chhattisgarh
and others

And

W. P.(S) No. 358 of 2007

Petitioner : Rajendra Kumar Nag
Versus
Respondents : State Government of Chhattisgarh
and others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri, J

Present: Mr. Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Mrs. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Government Advocate for the
respondents/State.

ORDER

(Passed on this 23rd Day of January, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts of the present writ petitions are similar to that of in W. P. (S) No. 5976/2006 (Sushil Tiwari and others vs. State Government of Chhattisgarh & others), which was allowed vide order dated 7.11.2006. They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.
2. In view of the above-stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petitions are allowed in terms of the order dated 7.11.2006 passed by this Court in W.P. (S.) No. 5976/2006 (Sushil Tiwari and others vs. State Government of Chhattisgarh & others). No order as to costs.
3. A copy of this order be placed on record in other connected writ petition.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge